Local Government Type:		Local Government Name:			County		
☐ City ☐ Township ☐ Village ☐	Other	17th District Court of R	edford, Michigan	<u> </u>	Wayne		
Audit Date	Opinion Da	ate	Da	Date Accountant Report Submitted To State:		o State:	
March 31, 2005	June 2, 20)05	Aı	August 30, 2005			
We have audited the financial statemen with the Statements of the Governmen Counties and Local Units of Government We affirm that:	ital Accountin nt in Michigar	ng Standards Board (G <i>A</i> n by the Michigan Depar	ASB) and the <i>Un</i> rtment of Treasu	iform Reporting I ry.			
 We have complied with the <i>Bulletin</i> We are certified public accountants 	s registered to	o practice in Michigan.					
We further affirm the following. "Yes" re and recommendations.	esponses hav	ve been disclosed in the	financial stateme	ents, including the	notes, or in	the re	port of comments
yes no 2. There are accur yes no 3. There are instal yes no 4. The local unit ha	nent units/fun mulated defic nces of non-c as violated the	low: ads/agencies of the local cits in one or more of this compliance with the Unif e conditions of either an or rgency Municipal Loan A	unit's unreserved form Accounting order issued und	d fund balances/r and Budgeting A	retained earni act (P.A. 2 of	ings (F 1968,	, as amended).
yes ono 5. The local unit he [MCL 129.91] o yes ono 6. The local unit he yes ono 7. The local unit has	olds deposits or P.A. 55 of 1 as been delir as violated the	s/investments which do n 1982, as amended [MCL nquent in distributing tax e Constitutional requiren	not comply with s . 38.1132]) revenues that w nent (Article 9, Se	vere collected for ection 24) to fund	another taxir	ng uni earned	it. d pension benefits
normal cost req yes no 8. The local unit us	luirement, no ses credit car	t year. If the plan is mo contributions are due (prds and has not adopted ed an investment policy	paid during the year	ear). olicy as required l	by P.A. 266 o	of 199	
We have enclosed the following:		Enclosed	To Be Forwarded		Not Required		
The letter of comments and recommer	The letter of comments and recommendations.						
Reports on individual federal assistance programs (program audits).							\boxtimes
Single Audit Reports (ASLGU).						\boxtimes	
Certified Public Accountant (Firm Nam	e): PI	LANTE & MOI	RAN, PLL				
Street Address	Address City		,	State	ZIP		
1000 Oakbrook Drive, Suite 400	'		Ann Arbor		MI 48104		
Accountant Signature							
Accountant Signature							

District Court Funds of District No. 17 Charter Township of Redford Wayne County, Michigan

Financial Report
with Supplemental Information
March 31, 2005

	Contents
Report Letter	1
Basic Financial Statements	
Balance Sheet	2
Notes to Financial Statements	3-4
Supplemental Information	5
Schedule of Cash Receipts and Disbursements	6





Suite 40C 1000 Oakbrook Drive Ann Arbor, MI 48104 Tel: 734.665.9494 Fax: 734.665.0664 plantemoran.com

Independent Auditor's Report

To the Judges of the 17th District Charter Township of Redford Wayne County, Michigan

We have audited the accompanying basic financial statements of the District Court Funds of District No. 17 (a component unit of the Charter Township of Redford, Michigan) as of March 31, 2005. These financial statements are the responsibility of the management of District Court No. 17, Charter Township of Redford. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the District Court Funds of District No. 17, Charter Township of Redford as of March 31, 2005, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the basic financial statements. The accompanying supplemental information, as identified in the table of contents, is presented for the purpose of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. The supplemental information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

The accompanying financial statements do not present a management's discussion and analysis, which would be an analysis of the financial performance for the year. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board has determined that this analysis is necessary to supplement, although not required to be a part of, the basic financial statements.

I

Plante & Moran, PLLC



Balance Sheet March 31, 2005

	Trust and			
	Agency Account		Bond Account	
Assets Cash and cash equivalents Due from bond account	\$	485,727 2,688	\$	143,399 <u>-</u>
Total assets	<u>\$</u>	488,415	<u>\$</u>	143,399
Liabilities				
Returnable bonds	\$	-	\$	139,976
Due to State Treasurer		115,008		-
Due to District Control Unit		357,741		735
Due to other governmental units		8,621		-
Due to Secretary of State		7,045		-
Due to trust and agency account				2,688
Total liabilities	\$	488,415	\$	143,399

Notes to Financial Statements March 31, 2005

Note I - Significant Accounting Policies

The funds of District Court No. 17, Charter Township of Redford (the "District Court") are Agency Funds. The financial activities of the funds are limited to collection of amounts that are subsequently returned or paid to third parties. Agency Funds are custodial in nature (assets equal liabilities) and do not involve the measurement of results of operations.

Effective April I, 2003, the District Court implemented the provisions of Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 34, Basic Financial Statements - and Management's Discussion and Analysis - for State and Local Governments. As of and for the year ended March 31, 2005, there were no adjustments necessary to the modified accrual amounts reported in the balance sheets. The District Court has elected not to present a management's discussion and analysis.

Note 2 - Cash and Cash Equivalents

The District Court's deposits and investments at March 31, 2005 are included on the balance sheet as cash and cash equivalents. The components are as follows:

Deposits	\$ 502,742
Investments - Bank investment pools	126,384
	.
Total deposits and investments	\$ 629,126

Deposits

The bank balance of the District Court's deposits (without recognition of checks written but not yet cleared, or of deposits in transit) amounted to \$517,522 at March 31, 2005. Of these deposits, \$100,000 was covered by federal depository insurance and the remainder was uninsured and uncollateralized. The District Court believes that due to the dollar amounts of cash deposits and the limits of FDIC insurance, it is impractical to insure all bank deposits. As a result, the District Court evaluates each financial institution with which it deposits District Court funds and assesses the level of risk of each institution; only those institutions with an acceptable estimated risk level are used as depositories.

Notes to Financial Statements March 31, 2005

Note 2 - Cash and Cash Equivalents (Continued)

Investments

The District Court is authorized by Michigan Public Act 20 of 1943 (as amended) to invest surplus monies in U.S. bonds and notes, certain commercial paper, U.S. government repurchase agreements, bankers' acceptances and mutual funds, and investment pools that are composed of authorized investment vehicles.

The District Court's investments during the year consisted solely of bank investment pools. Investments are normally categorized to give an indication of the level of risk assumed by the District Court; however, bank investment pools are not categorized because they are not evidenced by securities that exist in physical or book entry form. Bank investment pools are regulated by the Michigan Banking Act. The fair value of the bank investment pools is the same as the pool shares. The District Court believes that the investments in these funds comply with the investment authority noted above. The District Court's investments are recorded at fair value.



Schedule of Cash Receipts and Disbursements Year Ended March 31, 2005

	Trust and Agency Account	Bond Account	
Cash and Cash Equivalents - April 1, 2004	\$ 477,188	\$ 135,022	
Receipts			
Fines, fees, and court costs	3,900,617	-	
Bonds posted	-	836,132	
Restitution	-	71,110	
Work programs	51,562	-	
Intensive supervision/probation and community service	185,581	-	
Alcohol screening	17,279	-	
Building fund	167,906		
Interest	1,094	735	
Total receipts	4,324,039	907,977	
Disbursements			
Transfers to District Control Unit	3,115,485	460	
State Treasurer	1,053,688	-	
Secretary of State	72,943	-	
Other governmental units	67,059	-	
Bond forfeitures and transfers	-	690,923	
Bond returns	-	113,150	
Restitution	-	76,995	
Garnishments and execution	-	18,072	
Bonds reinstated	6,325		
Total disbursements	4,315,500	899,600	
Cash and Cash Equivalents - March 31, 2005	\$ 485,727	\$ 143,399	